

EB 42

Relations with Designated Operational
and Applicant Entities

AP proposal regarding witnessing activities

- Proposals on paragraph 15 of EB 41
 - Witnessing is completed within one year from issuance of an indicative letter and that another 6 months is granted in case the completion of the witnessing was not successful within one year and the CDM-AT determined the need for additional witnessing activities for a particular sectoral scope in accordance with the procedure of accreditation by operational entities.

- Proposal on paragraph 16 of EB 41:
 - No objections to the suggestion.
 - A practical solution must be found for identifying projects for witnessing, as currently witnessing can only be performed on projects where the validation process has not started, while a central selection of witnessing activities would depend on PDDs being made publicly available first.
 - Any system for centrally selecting witnessing opportunities shall not affect the timeline of validations that are not selected for witnessing.

Timelines for conduction validation activities

- Undertake validation and provide 1st validation report to PP
 - 60-90 days given that interviews can be scheduled within 2-3 weeks after 30 days stakeholder consultation period
- Registration request
 - 6 months after PDD was published given that PP was able to properly solve issues raised in 1st validation report with 30 days of its receipt
- Respond to issues raised in completeness check
 - 5-15 working days depending on the nature of the incompleteness

Timelines for conduction verification activities

- Sending monitoring report to Secretariat
 - 3 working days
- Undertake verification and provide 1st verification report to PP
 - 60 days given that a site visit can be scheduled within 3 weeks after the receipt of the monitoring report
- Issuance request
 - 3 months after receipt of monitoring report given that PP was able to properly solve issues raised in 1st verification report with 15 days of its receipt
- Respond to issues raised in completeness check
 - 5-15 working days depending on the nature of the incompleteness

Timelines for conduction validation and verification activities - assumptions

- These timelines are based on the assumption that there is no backlog within the DOEs and/or a large amount of request for reviews and reviews to be handled in parallel with on-going validations.
- Before we can commit to any timeline, we must be given the same opportunity - as given to the Secretariat at EB 41 - to identify and report back by
 - When a DOE is able to commit to any fixed timeline
 - The maximum number of projects received in a period of time for which we can commit to a fixed timeline.

Seriousness of CDM consideration

- ❑ DOEs implement own rule to assess seriousness of CDM consideration
- ❑ New press-release was issued cancelling DOEs' "12 months approach", issued in previous press-release
- ❑ Letter to EB

Seriousness of CDM consideration – Input

- ❑ Interface that allows project participants to submit required notifications, with permitted access by DOEs
- ❑ Demonstration of continuing and real actions were taking also for projects with a starting date on or after 02/08/2008
- ❑ Authenticity of documented evidences made available to demonstrate seriousness of CDM consideration
- ❑ Meaning of *continuing* actions

Grid emission factors published by DNAs

- ❑ Several DNA's have published EF for the grids in their countries
- ❑ DOE shall assess that this EF was determined in accordance with tool to calculate the EF for an electricity system
- ❑ Not always the data is available for such an assessment

Grid emission factors published by DNAs

□ Proposal

- Validation, by 2 DOEs or Meth Panel, of the EF calculations for a certain year
- Approval of this validated EF by the Board, until a revalidation is necessary

Concerns about requests for reviews

- Retroactiveness of guidances adopted by the Board
- How certain aspects were validated
- Consequences
 - New CARs opened for already concluded validations
 - Validations put on hold until a request for review, in a similar project, is concluded
 - Severe impacts on human resources and, hence, on speed of validation process

Concerns about requests for reviews

- Proposal
 - Workshop for discuss re-occurring issues raised in requests for review (idea ventilated in Bali)

Pending issues

- ❑ Starting date of the project activities – Input to EB37
- ❑ Affidavit by top management of Project Participants – Input to EB37
- ❑ Liability of DOEs in Programme of Activities – Input to EB39
- ❑ Contractual arrangement between PP and DOE – Input to EB40

Next interactions with the Board and the secretariat

- DOE/AIE regional calibration meeting – Beijing – 29/09
 - www.grandmillenniumbeijing.com
- EB43



Thank you!