



## Annex 20

**WORKPROGRAMME OF THE METH PANEL  
(Second semester of 2010)****(Version 02)**

<b>Key priority category</b>	<b>Specific issue and link with other issues</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Expected output</b>	<b>Current status</b>	<b>Expected end date</b>
<b>New Methodologies</b>	Continuation with the priorities as identified by the Board (transport, energy efficiency in housing etc, agriculture)	Priority methodologies will be taken up at the next Meth Panel (MP) meeting - or state which meeting	Priority methodologies	Ongoing	Ongoing
<b>Requests for Clarification and Requests for Revision</b>	Continuation with treatment as priority as instructed by the Board	This will take approximately 50% of the MP's capacity	Proposals to the Board for Clarifications and Revisions	Ongoing + clearing backlog	Ongoing
<b>Methodological Tools</b>	Revision of the Combined Tool	1. Finalisation of the revision by MP45	The revised Combined Tool	The draft diagram and concept of revised combined tool prepared by two members of MP, with support of the secretariat, was discussed and several comments were received from MP members	EB 56



Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
<b>Methodological Tools</b>	Checking consistency of “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period” with existing methodological requirements and taking steps based on the outcome	1. The MP to finalise the note prepared by the secretariat based on the analysis by the MP members on issues in consistency of 11 approved methodologies with the “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”	Either revision of methodologies or revision of the “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”	MP 45 to finalize the note prepared by the secretariat	EB 56
<b>Methodological Tools</b>	Development of new “Tool to determine the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”	1. The Board decided at its fifty-third meeting to launch a call for public inputs on a draft “Tool to determine the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)” prepared by MP43; 2. Based on public comments submitted on the draft tool several issues and concerns were identified	New “Tool to determine the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”	The MP intends to advance the tool, taking into account the comments received from stakeholders, with a view to recommend a final version for approval at its 46th meeting  Further advice is sought by the MPI from EB 55	EB 58



Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
<b>Methodological Tools Based on request from CMP4 (Para 44, 45)</b>	44. Commends the Executive Board for identifying issues and constraints for the application of methodologies relating to demand-side energy efficiency measures, energy efficiency improvements in supply-side domestic appliances and mass transport; 45. Requests that these issues and constraints are addressed with due priority in view of their importance	New “Tool on energy benchmarking of domestic appliances”  Development of the draft tool, taking concepts from approved methodology AM0070 MP46 will consider this draft tool	New “Tool on energy benchmarking of domestic appliances”	Draft tool is being prepared by the secretariat	Will be considered by the Board in year 2011 (first semester)
<b>Methodological Tools Based on request from CMP5 (Para 34)</b>	Revision of “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” in order to make it applicable for project activities hosted in countries with a paucity of relevant data (including consultation/workshop with DNAs, DOEs, experts and potential project proponents or launching a call for public input, if necessary)	Identification of approaches in development of the tool based on concepts from approved methodology AM0070	Revised of “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”	Various options to address this request are being considered	Will be considered by the Board in year 2011 (first semester)



<b>Methodological Tools</b>	Revision of “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” to include ex-ante option for dispatch analysis	The secretariat to prepare the draft revised tool for MP45 to work on revision of the tool	Revised “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”	The initial concepts of revision are discussed in line with the request from the Board (EB 54, para 25)	EB 56
<b>Methodological Tools Based on request from EB 50 (Para 24)</b>	The MP to evaluate, based on feedback on the application of "tool to calculate emission factor for electricity system" in the projects, whether there is a need to enhance the usability and attractiveness of the tool for the project proponents	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The MP to analyse approaches to evaluate the usability of “tool to calculate emission factor for electricity system”;</li> <li>2. Based on analysis, if required, the tool will be revised and recommended to the Board</li> </ol>	Revised “tool to determine emission factor for electricity system”	Various options to address this request are being considered	Will be considered by the Board in year 2011 (first semester)
<b>Methodological issues</b>	Annex on complex industries for ACM0012 and consideration of NM0292 based on the decision on annex of ACM0012  Revision of ACM0012 in view of several methodological issues	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. MP45 to review the draft revision of ACM0012 prepared by the secretariat and recommend a revised draft methodology for the consideration of the Board</li> </ol>	Revised ACM0012	The draft revision of ACM0012 is sent to MP members for their review	EB 56



<b>Methodological issues</b>	ACM0006: Deconsolidation into power only/cogeneration/heat only methodologies while taking into account requests for clarifications/EB requests in the revised versions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In order to facilitate work on the revision the MP44 requested the Board to agree (including by electronic decision) to launch a call for public inputs on the draft methodology after the secretariat completes editorial work on it;</li><li>2. After consideration of public inputs this methodology will be finalised and recommended to the Board by MP45</li></ol>	One new methodology for cogeneration projects. The existing methodology AM0036 will have applicability limited to heat-only projects	Biomass-based Power (only) generation methodology is approved by the Board  The secretariat and the MP has developed a draft methodology for biomass-based cogeneration projects	EB 56
------------------------------	---	---	--	--	-------



<b>Cross cutting issues</b>	EB mandate on simplification and improving objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency of methodologies	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Work on the development of default operating parameters;</li> <li>2. Work on improvement of usability, applicability, consistency and objectivity of ten identified approved methodologies;</li> <li>3. The revision of three methodologies in second semester;</li> <li>4. Make a survey to collect the view of stakeholders;</li> <li>5. Monitor the relevant parameters that demonstrate the improvement of the three methodologies</li> </ol>	Three improved methodologies of possibly high impact on CDM projects development	The activity was started after MP42 and initial comments from MP members are collected. These comments are analysed by the secretariat to revise the approved methodologies, before the next phase of work starts	EB 57
<b>Cross cutting issues</b>	Prepare a booklet on summary of methodologies, explaining their applicability and key components	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The external review of the work done by the secretariat.</li> <li>2. Based on the reviewer's comments, the secretariat to submit draft booklet for the Board's approval</li> </ol>	Booklet summarising the approved methodologies	The secretariat has made draft one-page summaries of all the approved methodologies. An external consultant is reviewing the work, and an artist is working on visualisation of methodological concepts in each methodology	EB 56



<b>Cross cutting issues</b>	Interaction with the other professional organizations on issues related to methodologies, tools or those of cross cutting nature	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The secretariat to identify and continuously update the list of issues on which the interaction with professional organizations could be useful;</li> <li>2. Prepare a database of contacts in the professional organizations</li> </ol>	Continuously updated list of issues for cooperation. Database of contacts in the professional organisations. If relevant, MoU with several organisations	Activity is started by the secretariat	Continuous basis
<b>Cross cutting issues</b>	Methods for including in baseline and monitoring methodologies, as appropriate, a scenario where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host Party (also referred to as “suppressed demand scenario”)	The secretariat to prepare and present to MP an option paper to identify and address scenarios where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host party, in methodologies that allow for it	A possible tool for determination of baseline scenario where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host party	Various options to address this request are being considered by the secretariat	Will be considered by the Board in year 2011 (first semester)



<b>Cross cutting issues</b>	To prioritize, informed by an analysis of the potential use of methodologies and potential for emission reductions, the consideration and development of baseline and monitoring methodologies that are applicable to under-represented project activity types or regions, in order to improve the efficiency of operation of the methodologies processes	Prioritization of consideration of methodologies based on analysis of the potential use of them and their potential for emission reductions	Methodologies that are applicable to under-represented project activity types or regions	Ongoing	Ongoing
-----------------------------	---	---	--	---------	---------

-----

**History of the document**

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Nature of revision</b>
02	EB 55, Annex 20 30 July 2010	Adoption of the workprogramme of the Meth Panel for the second semester of 2010.
01	EB 52, Annex 14 12 February 2010	Adoption of the workprogramme of the Meth Panel for the first semester of 2010.
<b>Decision Class:</b> Operational <b>Document Type:</b> Information Note <b>Business Function:</b> Governance		