

REVISION OF THE CDM ACCREDITATION STANDARD

EB 56, Brasilia, 13-17. September 2010



BACKGROUND

EB50 requested the CDM-AP to **guide AE/DOEs on how to implement the requirements related to impartiality and independence;**

Decision 2/CMP.5, encouraged the Board to continue to develop **measures to enhance the impartiality, independence and technical competence of DOEs.**

Following the CMP request, EB55 agreed on the approach presented on TA and requested the CDM-AP, with the support of the secretariat, to **prepare a draft revised CDM AS based on the proposed approach,** for consideration by EB56.



WHAT THE REVISION IS ABOUT

- **More prescriptive requirements about the allocation of some of the DOEs functions to sites other than the one visited**
- **Additional competence requirements aiming to (i) ensuring that DOEs possess the ability to apply their knowledge and skills and (ii) differentiating the required competence for val/ver activities based on TA**
- **Broadening of the area where impartiality is to be addressed and more prescriptive requirements for the procedure for impartiality**
- **Update of the DOE reporting requirements accordingly**



ALLOCATION OF FUNCTIONS TO OTHER SITES

To which sites?

- Branches and **offices** other than the central office
- Offices of other legal entities of the same group

Which functions?

- Team selection
- Contract review **except the signing of the contract**
- Planning and performing val/ver activities
- Documents and records management
- Handling complaints and managing confidentiality
- **Safeguarding impartiality at the operational level**



COMPETENCE REQUIREMENTS

- Initial qualification shall be based on knowledge, **skills** and **ability to apply** them and shall be **confirmed based on performance evaluation**
- Competence requirements are **based on TA** that are sub-sectors of SS characterized by their technical processes, applicable methodologies, etc
- Redefinition of the criteria for qualification (i) knowledge and **skills for initial qualification** (ii) **ability to apply** them for **confirmation** of the qualification
- More **prescription on how to evaluate performance** of the validation/verification team members.



IMPARTIALITY REQUIREMENTS

- Shall be addressed at the **policy**, the **organizational** and the **operational** level
- What shall be part of the conflict of interest analysis? (i) **what type of risks** are to be considered, (ii) in **which type of DOEs activities** they may arise, (iii) **how and when** to conduct the CI analysis
- How to mitigate the identified risks?
 - (a) Through (i) prohibition, (ii) restriction and (iii) **disclosure**.
 - (b) **More** prescription on what shall and what shall not be done at the organizational level and the individual level
- **Review of the effectiveness of these procedures**



ACTIONS REQUESTED FROM THE BOARD

1. To **consider** the revised AS for its approval
2. If adopted, the CDM-AP recommends a **grace period of six months to implement** the requirements of the revised Standard; and
3. To **cancel the stand-alone document** “Guidelines for the preparation of the annual activity report by a DOE to the Executive Board” whose provisions are included in Annex C to the revised Standard.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

