

DOE/AE Forum | *Werner Betzenbichler* | July 2011

EB-62

Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

Topics

- Inputs to EB-62
- Reminder on missing action
- Issues for Accreditation Panel
- Comments to the annotated agenda

Inputs to EB-62

- No inputs made
 - Most important issues to be discussed in workshops before EB-63

Reminder on missing action

- We see no progress with regard to:
EB 54 para 56: "The Board considered the information note regarding the "Policy options to assess grid emission factors published by DNAs". The Board agreed that to facilitate the development of grid emission factors DNAs may request a DOE to validate these factors. The Board requested the secretariat to develop procedures for the consideration of such validation reports by the Board."
- The DOE Forum would welcome further progress in this context, which was intended to help in overcoming difficulties in validations and verifications

Issues for Accreditation Panel

- Major concern realized during discussions with high management level of entities (above DOE unit level)
 - accreditation costs are at least a magnitude higher than for any other accreditations
 - caused by costs for frequent audits by assessment teams (regular surveillance, performance assessment , spot checks, re-accreditation)
 - level of costs is mainly driven by travel costs
 - high uncertainty in business planning and dispatching of resources
- We still need to find the right balance

Issues for Accreditation Panel (cont.)

- Suggestion to work out a more predictable process
- E.g.: Increase in costs only as consequence of clear breaches of accreditation rules (e.g. after failed corrective actions)
- We would like to start discussion on solutions with the Accreditation Panel after the VVS update, e.g. at AP-57

Comments to the annotated agenda

- Para 6 / Annex 2 of AP Report
 - interim measure for initial qualification of validation/verification team members for complex technical areas is excellent **interim** solution as it is applicable with immediate effect
 - Further solutions to be discussed with AP during suggested interaction
- Para 11 / Annex 1 (Standard on Suppressed Demand)
 - Suitable work
 - It will require concrete action to create harmonized understanding by DOEs, Secretariat, RIT members to avoid requests for review
- Para 12 / Annex 2 (Sector Specific Standardized Baselines)
 - As above

Comments to the annotated agenda (cont.)

- Para 34 / Annex 4 (stakeholders inputs)
 - We welcome the possibility to express some concerns raised during last EB in a public call for input
- Para 43 / Annex 6 (End of KP Period Issuances)
 - “Straddled approach” is appreciated by DOE Forum
 - Otherwise we see low likelihood to satisfy the market demand

Thank you for your attention!

Werner Betzenbichler
Chair of the DOE Forum
on behalf of TÜV NORD

Werner.Betzenbichler@bece-experts.com