

Determination and verification manual - Fourth draft -

**Nineteenth meeting of the Joint Implementation
Supervisory Committee**

Motoharu Yamazaki
UNFCCC secretariat

Copenhagen, Denmark
3-4 December 2009

UNFCCC



UNITED NATIONS FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE

JISC 16

- JISC considered the first draft, and:
 - Requested the secretariat to prepare [a second draft](#) in consultation with 6 JISC members/alternates
 - Requested the secretariat to launch [a call for public input](#) on the second draft
 - The call was conducted between 20 August – 16 September 2009

JISC 17

- JISC considered the second draft, and:
 - Requested the secretariat to prepare [a third draft](#) in consultation with 5 JISC members/alternates, taking into account the inputs from the call

JISC 18

- JISC 18 considered the third draft, and:
 - Requested the secretariat to prepare [a fourth draft](#) in consultation with 5 JISC members/alternates, taking into account the JISC's consideration on the third draft.
 - Requested the secretariat to undertake [a legal review](#) of the draft

JISC 19

- **Plan to adopt DVM (version 01)**

Main changes from third draft

1. Change of section structure

- “Publication of PDD” was taken out from “Determination” and put above;
- “Publication of monitoring report” was taken out from “Verification” and put above;
- Sub-section titles “JI specific approach” and “Approved CDM methodology approach” were inserted in all relevant sections of “Determination”.

2. Addition of contents

- Contents were developed:
 - “Determination regarding programmes of activities”
 - “Annex: Determination and verification check list”
- New sections were added:
 - “Project approval by parties involved” under “Verification” section
 - “Verification regarding programmes of activities”

3. Change of provisions nature

- “Shall” provisions were changed to “should” provisions, unless the base document directly mandates AIEs to do so

4. Editorial changes/corrections

- To be in line with the base documents

- A. Background
- B. Objectives
- C. Principles of determination and verification
- D. [Publication of project design document](#)
- E. Determination
 - 1. General
 - 2. Project approval by Parties involved
 - 3. Authorization of project participants by Parties involved
 - 4. Baseline setting
 - JI specific approach
 - Approved CDM methodology approach
 - 5. Additionality
 - [JI specific approach](#)
 - [Approved CDM methodology approach](#)
 - 6. Project boundary
 - [JI specific approach](#)
 - [Approved CDM methodology approach](#)
 - 7. Crediting period

8. Monitoring plan
 - JI specific approach
 - Approved CDM methodology approach
 - Overlapping monitoring period
9. Leakage
 - JI specific approach
 - Approved CDM methodology approach
10. Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of removals
 - JI specific approach
 - Approved CDM methodology approach
11. Environmental impacts
12. Stakeholder consultation
13. Determination regarding small-scale projects
14. Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry projects
 - JI specific approach
 - Approved CDM methodology approach
15. Determination regarding projects under programme of activities
16. Determination report

- F. Publication of monitoring report
 - G. Verification
 1. General
 2. Project implementation
 3. Compliance with monitoring plan
 4. Revision of monitoring plan
 5. Data management
 6. Verification regarding programmes of activities
 7. Verification report
 - F. References
 - G. Abbreviations
- Annex: Determination and verification check list

Issue relating to legal review

- Main questions that prompted the JISC to undertake a legal review were:
 - What is legal implications of the DVM?
 - What is risk on JISC (any form of damage to JISC)?
- With introduction of no new “shalls”,
 - These questions are no longer relevant, because:
 - ✓ DVM does not introduce any new mandatory provisions;
 - ✓ Therefore, DVM does not (newly/additionally) necessitate the JISC to monitor AIEs’ conformance with the provisions and impose enforcement (penalties) for non-conformance;
 - No grace period is necessary